APPENDIX A Pedestrian Report Card Assessment





Central Transportation Planning Staff (CTPS) to the Boston Region MPO: www.ctps.org | 857.702.3700 | ctps@ctps.org

Ryan Hicks, Congestion Management Process Manager: www.ctps.org/cmp | 857.702.3661 | rhicks@ctps.org

Casey Claude, Bicycle and Pedestrian Program Manager: www.ctps.org/livability | 857.702.3707 | cclaude@ctps.org

Pedestrian Report Card Assessment (PRCA):

Roadway Segment

Roadway Segment Location

Route 16 - Chelsea and Everett, MA

| Grading Categories | Score | Rating |
|----------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Safety | 1.0 | Poor |
| System Preservation | N/A | Poor |
| Capacity Management and Mobility | 1.5 | Poor |
| Economic Vitality | 1.5 | Poor |

| Transportation Equity | | |
|------------------------|---|--|
| High Priority Area | ✓ | |
| Moderate Priority Area | | |
| Not a Priority Area | | |

Category Ratings

Good: Score of 2.3 or more (maximum 3.0)

Grading Categories: Scoring Breakdown Roadway Segment

| Capacity Management and Mobility | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| Performance Measure | Weight | Rating | Weighted Score |
| Sidewalk Presence | 3 | Fair | 6 |
| Crossing Opportunities | 2 | Poor | 2 |
| Walkway Width | 1 | Poor | 1 |
| Total | 6 | | 9 |

| Economic Vitality | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| Performance Measure | Weight | Rating | Weighted Score |
| Pedestrian Volumes | 1 | Fair | 2 |
| Adjacent Bicycle Accommodations | 1 | Poor | 1 |
| Total | 2 | | 3 |

Category rating = total rating/total weight Rating Score: Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1

| Safety | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| Performance Measure | Weight | Rating | Weighted Score |
| Pedestrian Crashes | 3 | Poor | 3 |
| Pedestrian-Vehicle Buffer | 1 | Poor | 1 |
| Vehicle Travel Speed | 1 | Poor | 1 |
| Total | 5 | | 5 |

| System Preservation | | |
|---------------------|--------|--|
| Performance Measure | Rating | |
| Sidewalk Condition | Poor | |

| Transportation Equity Priority | | | |
|---|----------|--|--|
| Area Condition | Yes/No | | |
| Environmental Justice zone? | ✓ | | |
| School or college within one-quarter mile? | ✓ | | |
| More than 8.9% of population older than 75 years? | √ | | |
| More than 27.5% of households do not own a vehicle? | ✓ | | |

Category Ratings

Good: Score of 2.3 or more (maximum 3.0)

Detailed Performance Measure Information: Roadway Segment

| Goal | Performance Measure | Features of Analyzed Locations | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | Sidewalk Presence | Sidewalks are present on two side of the street | | |
| Mobility | Crossing Opportunities | 8 crosswalks in 1.5 miles = 5.3 crosswalks per mile | | |
| | Walkway Width | 4 foot sidewalks | | |
| Economic Vitality | Pedestrian Volumes | Estimated 5 to 60 pedestrians | | |
| | Adjacent Bicycle Accommodations | No bicycle infrastructure, shoulders only 1-2 feet wide | | |
| 0-5-1 | Pedestrian Crashes | HSIP cluster | | |
| Safety | Pedestrian-Vehicle Buffer | Less than feet | | |
| | Vehicle Travel Speed | > 35 MPH | | |
| System Preservation | Sidewalk Condition | Poor | | |





Central Transportation Planning Staff (CTPS) to the Boston Region MPO: www.ctps.org | 857.702.3700 | ctps@ctps.org

Ryan Hicks, Congestion Management Process Manager: www.ctps.org/cmp | 857.702.3661 | rhicks@ctps.org

Casey Claude, Bicycle and Pedestrian Program Manager: www.ctps.org/livability | 857.702.3707 | cclaude@ctps.org

Pedestrian Report Card Assessment (PRCA):

Roadway Segment

Roadway Segment Location

Route 20 - Weston, MA

| Grading Categories | Score | Rating |
|----------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Safety | 2.6 | Good |
| System Preservation | N/A | Fair |
| Capacity Management and Mobility | 1.6 | Poor |
| Economic Vitality | 2.0 | Fair |

| Transportation Equity | | |
|------------------------|---|--|
| High Priority Area | ✓ | |
| Moderate Priority Area | | |
| Not a Priority Area | | |

Category Ratings

Good: Score of 2.3 or more (maximum 3.0)

Grading Categories: Scoring Breakdown Roadway Segment

| Capacity Management and Mobility | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| Performance Measure | Weight | Rating | Weighted Score |
| Sidewalk Presence | 3 | Fair | 6 |
| Crossing Opportunities | 2 | Poor | 2 |
| Walkway Width | 1 | Fair | 2 |
| Total | 6 | | 10 |

| Economic Vitality | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| Performance Measure | Weight | Rating | Weighted Score |
| Pedestrian Volumes | 1 | Fair | 2 |
| Adjacent Bicycle Accommodations | 1 | Fair | 2 |
| Total | 2 | | 4 |

Category rating = total rating/total weight Rating Score: Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1

| Safety | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|-------------------|--|--|
| Performance Measure | Weight | Rating | Weighted Score | | |
| Pedestrian Crashes | 3 | Good | 9 | | |
| Pedestrian-Vehicle Buffer | 1 | Fair | 2 | | |
| Vehicle Travel Speed | 1 | Fair | 2 | | |
| Total | 5 | | 13 | | |

| System Preservation | | |
|---------------------|--------|--|
| Performance Measure | Rating | |
| Sidewalk Condition | Fair | |

| Transportation Equity Priority | | | |
|---|----------|--|--|
| Area Condition | Yes/No | | |
| Environmental Justice zone? | ✓ | | |
| School or college within one-quarter mile? | ✓ | | |
| More than 8.9% of population older than 75 years? | | | |
| More than 27.5% of households do not own a vehicle? | | | |

Category Ratings

Good: Score of 2.3 or more (maximum 3.0)

Detailed Performance Measure Information: Roadway Segment

| Goal | Performance Measure | Features of Analyzed Locations | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Mobility | Sidewalk Presence | Sidewalks are mostly present on one side of the roadway, although they are missing in a few places. | |
| | Crossing Opportunities | 2 crosswalks in 3 miles = 1 crosswalk per mile | |
| | Walkway Width | Much of the sidewalk is narrow | |
| Economic Vitality | Pedestrian Volumes | Estimated 5-60 pedestrians | |
| Safety | Adjacent Bicycle Accommodations | No bicycle infrastructure, 3-6 feet wide shoulders present through most of the corridor | |
| | Pedestrian Crashes | No HSIP cluster | |
| | Pedestrian-Vehicle Buffer | Less than 5 feet | |
| | Vehicle Travel Speed | = 35 MPH | |
| System Preservation | Sidewalk Condition | Fair | |

APPENDIX B Support Letters

Seth Asante

From: Jay Monty

Sent: Tuesday, September 4, 2018 10:14 AM

To: Seth Asante

Cc: Catherine Rollins Denisi; Mayor Carlo DeMaria

Subject: RE: Route 16 Priority Corridor Study in Everett and Chelsea

Hi Seth,

Absolutely. We're thrilled to hear that MassDOT is moving forward with this project. Improving Rte 16 in Everett is a high priority of the City, particularly as it pertains to the pedestrian, bicycle and transit facilities on the corridor which are in most cases hazardous and in many cases non-existent. We have several large development projects along the corridor in various stages of permitting and construction which make this project all the more critical for the safety and mobility of our residents.

We will plan to participate in the study and (hopefully) re-design of the corridor in any way that is appropriate. I would suggest that the western limit of the project should extend slightly beyond Second Street and include the on-ramp from Rte 99 and pedestrian and bicycle connections from Rte 16 to Rte 99.

We look forward to participating and please let me know how we can be of assistance.

Thanks,

Jay

Jay Monty
Transportation Planner
Department of Planning and Development
City of Everett
484 Broadway, Rm 25
Everett, MA 02149
617-544-6033

From: Seth Asante [mailto:sasante@ctps.org] **Sent:** Tuesday, September 04, 2018 10:06 AM

To: Jay Monty

Subject: Route 16 Priority Corridor Study in Everett and Chelsea

Hi Jay,

MassDOT Highway Division's District 4 has suggested studying Route 16 (Revere Beach Parkway) from Second Street in Everett to Webster Avenue/Garfield Avenue in Chelsea, about 1.4 miles long. After reviewing the arterial segment, it is very likely that the MPO staff would recommend it for LRTP priority corridor study. MassDOT recently acquired Route 16 from I-93 in Medford to Route 145 in Revere from the Department of Conservation and Recreation and the entire section will be maintained by District 4.

A quick assessment indicates the arterial segment has six Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) crash clusters, five of which are in the top-200 intersection crash clusters in Massachusetts. The intersection of Route 16 and Washington Avenue in Chelsea is also part of an HSIP pedestrian crash cluster. In addition, the segment experiences traffic congestion and has pedestrian and bicycle accommodation issues. The study would focus on Complete Streets solutions: accommodating bicyclists and pedestrians safely, closing gaps in sidewalk network, and addressing ADA issues. It will also address congestion by retiming and coordinating traffic signals to improve traffic flow, upgrading signal equipment, access management, as well as improving signage and wayfinding, and modernizing the roadway to MassDOT standards.

We would like to have broader support and participation in the study by engaging the communities in Everett and Chelsea. I am therefore contacting you to see if Everett has interest and willing to participate in a study. Please feel free to call or email me if you have any question.

Thank you, Seth

Seth A. Asante, P.E. | Chief Transportation Planner CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING STAFF 857.702.3644 | <u>sasante@ctps.org</u> www.ctps.org/bostonmpo

Tan Pail Pias. S. Ya. 2190 — Berrer, M.A. 02116-1693 Na: 'n 897,700,8700 — Pair 617,870,9160 | TTY 617,870,9195





Seth Asante

From: DePriest, John

Sent: Wednesday, September 5, 2018 7:56 AM

To: 'Seth Asante'; Jay Monty

Cc: Mark Abbott; Connie Raphael (DOT); Train, Alexander

Subject: RE: Route 16 Priority Corridor Study in Everett and Chelsea

Yes, Chelsea will participate. I am cc'ing Alex Train, our infrastructure planner, on this email.

What will the City's role be in this study?

John DePriest, AICP Director of Planning & Development

From: Seth Asante [mailto:sasante@ctps.org]
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2018 4:35 PM

To: Jay Monty; DePriest, John

Cc: Mark Abbott; Connie Raphael (DOT)

Subject: Route 16 Priority Corridor Study in Everett and Chelsea

Good Afternoon,

MassDOT Highway Division's District 4 has suggested studying Route 16 (Revere Beach Parkway) from Second Street in Everett to Webster Avenue/Garfield Avenue in Chelsea, about 1.4 miles long. After reviewing the arterial segment, it is very likely that the MPO staff would recommend it for LRTP priority corridor study. MassDOT recently acquired Route 16 from I-93 in Medford to Route 145 in Revere from the Department of Conservation and Recreation and the entire section will be maintained by District 4.

A quick assessment indicates the arterial segment has six Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) crash clusters, five of which are in the top-200 intersection crash clusters in Massachusetts. The intersection of Route 16 and Washington Avenue in Chelsea is also part of an HSIP pedestrian crash cluster. In addition, the segment experiences traffic congestion and has pedestrian and bicycle accommodation issues. The study would focus on Complete Streets solutions: accommodating bicyclists and pedestrians safely, closing gaps in sidewalk network, and addressing ADA issues. It will also address congestion by retiming and coordinating traffic signals to improve traffic flow, upgrading signal equipment, access management, as well as improving signage and wayfinding, and modernizing the roadway to MassDOT standards.

We would like to have broader support and participation in the study by engaging the communities in Everett and Chelsea. I am therefore contacting you to see if Everett and Chelsea have interest and willing to participate in a study. Please feel free to call or email me if you have any question.

Thank you, Seth

Seth A. Asante, P.E. | Chief Transportation Planner CENTRAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING STAFF 857.702.3644 | sasante@ctps.org www.ctps.org/bostonmpo

Seth Asante

From: Chen-Yuan Wang

Sent: Wednesday, August 1, 2018 9:41 AM

To: Seth Asante
Cc: Mark Abbott

Subject: FW: CTPS 2019 studies

Seth, FYI.

From: Raphael, Connie J. (DOT) < connie.raphael@state.ma.us>

Sent: Tuesday, July 31, 2018 4:50 PM

To: Chen-Yuan Wang (cwang@ctps.org) <cwang@ctps.org>; Mark Abbott (mabbott@ctps.org) <mabbott@ctps.org)

Subject: FW: CTPS 2019 studies

Hi Chen-Yuan and Mark,

We have a suggestion for a corridor study in the future. MassDOT recent acquired Route 16 from I-93 in Medford to Route 145 in Revere. This entire section will be maintained by District 4.

The section we would suggest studying would be from 2nd Street in Everett to Webster Ave/Garfield Ave in Chelsea.

Connie

From: Suszynski, Frank G. (DOT)
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2018 3:27 PM

To: Raphael, Connie J. (DOT) <Connie.Raphael@dot.state.ma.us>; Fallon, Brian M. (DOT)

<Brian.Fallon@dot.state.ma.us>; Gregg, John E. (DOT) <John.Gregg@dot.state.ma.us>; Timoner, Sara (DOT)

<<u>Sara.Timoner@dot.state.ma.us</u>> **Subject:** RE: CTPS 2019 studies

Hi Connie,

How about Revere Beach Parkway, formally DCR sections?

From: Raphael, Connie J. (DOT) **Sent:** Monday, July 30, 2018 2:38 PM

To: Suszynski, Frank G. (DOT); Fallon, Brian M. (DOT); Gregg, John E. (DOT); Timoner, Sara (DOT)

Subject: CTPS 2019 studies

Hi all,

CTPS will be looking for priority corridors and expressway bottleneck locations to study next federal fiscal year. The corridors can also be areas, like the Medford Square study. The bottlenecks would be similar to the Route 3 at Route 128 recommendations.

I haven't heard when they will need ideas for studies yet but will keep you informed.

Thanks

Connie